PTO/SB/17 (1031)

Approved for Approved for U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. 2.3 DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unless it displays a valid OMB control than the paperwork reduction of information unles

CTEE TO ANIONITE AL		모		
TP IF LE TRANSMITTAL	Application Number	09/183,375	<u>유</u>	
FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2002	Filing Date	October 30, 1998	NIE	- 0
FEB 1 1 2002 말	First Named Inventor	J. SZEBENI et al.		9
etent fees are subject to annual revision.	Examiner Name	S. Kishore	න	20
W. a. a confession	Group / Art Unit	1615	- 8	2
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 110	Attorney Docket No.	38644-170639	8	

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)						FEE CALCULATION (continued)					
				ioner is hereby authori		3. ADI	DITIONAL	. FEES			
1. Indicated fees and credit any over payments to:			Large		Small						
Depo						Fee Code	Entity Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Entity Fee (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
Acco Numb		210-3	380			105	130	205	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
						127	50	227	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet.	
Depo Acco						139	130	139	130	Non-English specification	
Name		L				147	2,520	147	2,520	For filing a request for reexamination	
Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17						112	920*	112	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27					113	1,840*	113	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action		
2. Payment Enclosed:					115	110	215	55	Extension for reply within first month	110	
	Check	☐ Cr	edit card	☐ Money [Order] Other	116	400	216	200	Extension for reply within second month	
-						117	920	217	460	Extension for reply within third month	
FEE CALCULATION 1. BASIC FILING FEE					118	1,440	218	720	Extension for reply within fourth month		
Large	Entity 5	Small	Entity			128	1,960	228	980	Extension for reply within fifth month	
Fee		ee	Fee	Fee Description		119	320	219	160	Notice of Appeal	
Code	,	Code	(\$)		Fee Paid	120	320	220	160	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
101		201	370	Utility filing fee		121	280	221	140	Request for oral hearing	_
106 107		206 207	165 255	Design filing fee Plant filing fee		138	1,510	138	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
108	740 2	208	370	Reissue filing fee		140	110	240	55	Petition to revive – unavoidable	
114	160 2	214	80	Provisional filling fee		141	1,280	241	640	Petition to revive - unintentional	
						142	1,280	242	640	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
		SU	JBTOTA	L (1)	(\$) 0	143	460	243	230	Design issue fee	
2. EXTR	RA CLAIN	1 FEES				144	620	244	310	Plant issue fee	
1				Extra Fee fro	m Fee	122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
				Claims below	Paid	123	50	123	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17 (q)	
Total Claim Independen		╡	** =	0 X	= 0	126	180	126	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
Claims Multiple Dependent			=	0 X X	= 0	581	40	581	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
Large	Entity	Small	Entit	у		146	740	246	370	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Description		149	740	249	370	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
103	18	203	9	Claims in excess of		179	740	279	370	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
102	84	202	42	Independent claims		169	900				
104 109	280 84	204 209	140 42	Multiple dependent ** Reissue independent original patent		109	900	169	900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	
110	18	210	9	** Reissue claims ir over original patent	excess of 20 and	Other fo	ee (specif	y)			
			s	UBTOTAL (2) (\$)					a Ec- 2	old SURTOTAL (2)	<u></u>
**or num	her previou	nsiv naid	if greater	; For Reissues, see abov	re	"Redu	ced by Ba	ISIC FIIIN	g ree P	aid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 110	

SUBMITTED BY			Co	mplete (if applicable)
Name (Print/Type)	Thomas G. Wigeman Registration No. Attorney/Agent)	35,046	Telephone	202/ 962-4800
Signature	Then Don -		Date	Febrjuary 11. 2002

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

